

Report of the Committee of Inquiry into the pay of Non-University Teachers

Chairman : THE RT. HON. LORD HOUGHTON OF SOWERBY, C.H.

Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for Education and Science and the Secretary of State for Scotland by Command of Her Majesty December 1974

> LONDON HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE £1.75 net

Cmnd. 5848

ISBN 0 10 158480 6

COMMITTEE OF INQUIRY INTO TEACHERS' PAY

The Committee of Inquiry was appointed in June 1974 to examine the pay of non-university teachers in Great Britain.

The members of the Committee were:

The Rt. Hon. Lord Houghton of Sowerby, C.H.
A. Donnet, Esq.
R. Grantham, Esq.
The Rt. Hon. Margaret Herbison
Professor M. Kogan
R. Leigh-Pemberton, Esq.
I. Mackintosh, Esq.
Lady Plowden, D.B.E.
Dr. A. E. Ritchie
Dr. R. C. Tress

The Secretariat was provided by the Office of Manpower Economics.

To The RIGHT HONOURABLE REGINALD PRENTICE, M.P. Department of Education and Science Elizabeth House York Road London SE1

The RIGHT HONOURABLE WILLIAM ROSS, M.P., M.B.E. Scottish Office St Andrew's House Edinburgh

We are glad to send you our Report before Christmas as we had hoped to do.

We were appointed at the end of June and within six months we have completed the most thorough and comprehensive review of teachers' pay for many years. While our terms of reference imposed no conditions upon the production of our recommendations, the teachers' firm expectation of retrospection to 24 May 1974 made the time factor of great importance. This is why we set our own target date for this Report right at the outset.

Our task combined all the urgency of an immediate pay claim with strong demands for recasting grading and salary structures to meet changing conditions. This combination of short-term and long-term requirements gave rise to conflicting aims within our overall review. These were difficult to reconcile. It is not easy to do the work of an arbitration tribunal and of a Royal Commission within the same time scale.

We seriously considered meeting this dilemma by making an interim report. For reasons we gave in a letter to all who came to see us we decided against this. It would have diverted us from our main task and, more important, it became impossible to contemplate making an interim report in face of all the evidence put to us for structural change. It was only very much later that we were able to advise you that a flat-rate lump sum of £100 could safely be given to most teachers pending the presentation of our conclusions.

Our Report is ample evidence of the range and depth of our inquiry. In order to complete our work in time we set up several groups within the Committee to study simultaneously their allotted tasks. Their recommendations were then considered and co-ordinated by the Committee as a whole. By this method we gained a lot of time.

As a former Chairman of the Staff Side of the Civil Service National Whitley Council, I have naturally been interested in the machinery for the negotiation of the pay and conditions of service in our education services. We refer to this in paragraph 264 of our Report. We hope that attention will be given to the absence of any place for joint negotiation on matters of pay and conditions of service common to the profession as a whole.

In the background to our Report lies the overriding question of the principles on which the pay of teachers should be fixed. The teaching profession is a large and important group within the public sector which lacks any agreed doctrine of comparability or a reliable estimate of public esteem. This may account in part for the pay of teachers falling behind. We have now tried to get teachers' salaries and careers more in line with a realistic assessment of present conditions in our schools and the place of education in the country's future.

Finally, I refer to paragraph 283 in our Report. Negotiations on our proposals should begin without delay. These should be completed, if possible, before the next round of pay negotiations on the settlements due in April 1975. Our Report says plainly (paragraph 278) that we proceeded on the assumption that our recommendations are to be backdated to 24 May 1974. We have therefore conducted our inquiry on the relevant evidence available. Movements in prices, wages and salaries which have taken place since May last have not entered into our reckoning. We have kept to the conditions of April 1974 leaving subsequent changes to future negotiations. This approach was inherent in a retrospective study on how far the salaries of teachers had "fallen behind".

The Committee realises full well that many people may judge our recommendations in the circumstances prevailing in December 1974 and not those of seven months ago. We considered several possible ways of identifying and taking into account factors in rapidly changing conditions which might be reflected in our recommendations. The Committee could find no way of meeting both retrospective and present criteria without anticipating or encroaching upon future negotiations. This they thought it wrong to do. Having stated so clearly the basis of our proposals which we recommend shall be effective from 24 May 1974, any further revision of salary scales would fall to be dealt with through the normal machinery. The next such revision is due from 1 April 1975.

The Committee wishes to record its unstinted praise for the enthusiasm and devotion of members of the Secretariat for their sustained effort in getting this job done.

Members of the Committee have made heavy sacrifices of time and effort to complete this difficult task. They have been under severe and constant pressure. Contrary to widespread belief none of us receives any payment for this work beyond out of pocket expenses.

We emphasise that our recommendations stand as a whole and represent the Committee's considered judgment of what is needed comprehensively to bring salaries and structures more into line with current needs and expectations. We hope that no time will be lost in implementing the improvements and reforms which we unanimously recommend.

Signed

HOUGHTON OF SOWERBY

CONTENTS

PART ONE		Paragrap	h Page
CHAPTER 1.	BACKGROUND		
	Catting and the Insuring	1	1
	NT 1 C/ 1 1 1 1 111	4	2
	Backgrounds of teachers' pay negotiations .		3
	Lange and of teachers pay hege and the		0
CHAPTER 2.	OUR APPROACH TO THE TASK		
	Timetable	15	6
	D	16	7
	D	24	8
	Earne of an ant	26	8
CHAPTER 3.	General Issues	27	9
	The averally of too show	28	9
	Teachers' pay compared with general move		-
		42	12
	Teachers' pay compared with that of othe		12
		47	14
	The most and status of teachers	56	16
	Simulificantian of the new structure	60	17
	Simplification of the pay structure		17
CHAPTER 4.	PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION	62	18
I.	Principles of Pay	01	10
	Present arrangements—England and Wales.	63	18
	Present among the Gradient	(0)	19
	One institute Caller at the	74	20
	our examination of the pay structure .	74	20
II.	Pay Structure—England and Wales		
	Concer anographic	77	21
	Stanting colonies	70	21
		05	23
	A *	01	23
	TT 1 des-1 and 1 des-to-1 and 1 des-1	00	25
	Truelfthe and halves of increments	101	27
		. 102	27
	Effects of our recommendations	. 117	30
III.	Pay Structure—Scotland		
111.	Designed and the structure and a sign	118	30
	Transmission	105	32
	Comments and manual all sets	100	32
	A 11	101	33
	A 1 1'4'	100	35
	Effects of our recommendations	120	35
	Effects of our recommendations	1.17	

1V.	Conclusions The new pay structure for primary and	Paragraph	Page
	secondary teachers	140	35
CHAPTER 5.	Further and Higher Education		
Ι.	Principles of Pay	142	37
	Present arrangements-England and Wales	143	37
	Present arrangements-Scotland	148	38
	Principles of pay structure	154	39
	A common grading structure	156	40
	Levels of work and grading courses	163	42
II.	Pay Structure—England and Wales		45
	Grading of lecturer posts		45
	Proportions of lecturer posts	189	48
	Heads of departments, Vice-Principals and		
	Principals		49
	Grading structures and the development of		
	future educational patterns	204	51
	16–19 years age group	205	51
	Colleges of education	209	52
	Farm institutes	220	54
	Teachers in adult education institutions		55
	Part-time teachers	225	55
III.	Pay Structure—Scotland	226	56
	Lecturer posts in a common grading structure		56
	Application to central institutions	001	57
	Application to colleges of education	025	57
	Application to further education centres	226	57
	Incremental credit for qualification	238	58
	Proportions of lecturer posts	239	58
	Heads of departments and senior academic		
	posts	243	59
	Principals and Vice-Principals	249	61
	Part-time teachers	252	61
	Librarians in central institutions	253	61
137			62
IV.	Conclusions-England, Wales and Scotland	054	62 62
	Salary relativities	259	63
	Impact on negotiating machinery	0/0	
	Average percentage increases in pay	262	64
Chapter 6.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS		
	Future machinery for reviewing pay		65
	Negotiating machinery		67
	Periodic review		67
	Status of our recommendations		68
	The date to which salary scales, allowances		
	and additions relate	277	68
	Retrospection	278	68
	Events subsequent to April 1974	282	69

	Paragraph	Page
CHAPTER 7. OUR RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEIR COST	284	70
PART TWO (A)—SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS		Page
General—England, Wales and Scotland		74
Primary and secondary education: England and W		74
Further and higher education: England and Wales		76
Primary and secondary education: Scotland	···· ···	82
Further and higher education: Scotland		85
PART TWO (B)—DETAILED RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNI		
SCALES, ALLOWANCES, ADDITIONS, STAFFING STRUC	TURES ETC.	
A. Recommendations relating to the 1974 Burnham P	rimary and	
Secondary Salaries document		90
B. Recommendations relating to those parts of the		
Teachers' Salaries Memorandum 1973 (as amen		
ing to the salaries of teachers employed in pr	imary and	
secondary education		98
C. Recommendations relating to the 1974 Burnha	m Further	
Education Salaries document		102
D. Recommendations relating to the 1974 Pelham Sal	aries docu-	
ment		111
E. Recommendations relating to the 1974 Burnham	Farm In-	
stitutes Salaries document		114
F. Recommendations relating to central institutions	other than	
central (agricultural) institutions and to colleges o		
in Scotland and recommendations relating to the		
the Scottish Teachers Salaries Memorandum		
amended) applying to the salaries of teachers en	mployed in	
further education		116
G. General recommendations for the retrospective pa		
all increases in scales, allowances and additions		130

APPENDICES

Text of a Press Notice of 24 May 1974 concerning the setting-up of the Committee	132
Composition and statutory position of teachers negotiating bodies within the terms of reference of the Committee	133
	155
Qualifications for teaching	138
Scales of salaries, April 1974	140
List of national bodies submitting written evidence	160
Text of a letter sent on 10 October to all members	
organisations of negotiating committees for	
teachers' salaries	162
Statistical Appendix	163
	setting-up of the Committee Composition and statutory position of teachers negotiating bodies within the terms of reference of the Committee Qualifications for teaching Scales of salaries, April 1974 List of national bodies submitting written evidence Text of a letter sent on 10 October to all members organisations of negotiating committees for teachers' salaries